

GA Stakeholder Forum June 2017 Review Synopsis

NANTAHALA GORGE Agreement	Needs Collaboration
<p>General:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does a good job hitting on rare species, human use, river and trail corridors being important for people and species. <p>Restoration and resiliency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>Access/Veg Management (timber and other mngt):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>Partnerships:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>Fire:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>Habitat Mngt (wildlife):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>MA distribution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General agreement on backcountry designations as indicated on maps <p>Recreation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	<p>Restoration and resiliency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SIA should also specify the biological and geological significance, as well as the recreational characteristics Non-native species should be expanded beyond rich coves, but specifically in the gorge area and streamside areas. Pearcy Mountain should focus on eco restoration rather than shifting mosaic <p>Access/Veg Management (timber and other mngt):</p> <p>Partnerships:</p> <p>Fire:</p> <p>Habitat Mngt (wildlife):</p> <p>MA distribution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Area around AT that is IRA – works as backcountry but remains a question as to whether this should be recommended for wilderness (who’s advocating for this area?) Cheoah Bald as backcountry but not necessarily recommended wilderness Nantahala Gorge SIA – need to clean up the lines on this boundary – should be no interface MA here. Potentially expand the SIA <p>Recreation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scenery – especially the forestwide Scenery Management System. <p>Additional areas mentioned:</p>